

17:54:20 From Mark P. Smith to Everyone:

Good evening, everyone. We plan to get started with the Town Hall around 610p.

Please visit our website for more information about the Monitorship: portlandpolicemonitor.com

You can also email us any time: info@portlandpolicemonitor.com

18:08:32 From Conrad Hulen PCCEP (he,him) to Everyone:

Greetings. Having trouble changing my name on this Town Hall call.

'Conrad' is Conrad Hulen (he/him) PCCEP Member. Policy & Reform Subcommittee.

18:10:32 From Kerry Driver (she,her) PCCEP member to Everyone:

Thanks Conrad! I'm Kerry Driver (she, her) and am also a PCCEP member.

18:11:47 From Noel Cuthair - PCCEP Co-Chair CE Subcommittee to Everyone:

Noel Cuthair. PCCEP committee member and Co-Chair of Community Engagement subcommittee

18:15:47 From Marc Poris to Everyone:

I am not seeing a way to save the chat in this meeting. Is that just user error, or is it that feature disabled?

18:15:52 From Dr. Elizabeth Allen to Everyone:

if you put something into this chat before the meeting started, people who just joined do not have access to it. Please post it again.

18:17:35 From Robert Fornango to Everyone:

Replying to "I am not seeing a way to save the chat in this mee...":

Hi @Marc Poris, you can find the Save Chat button at the top of the Chat window. Click on the ellipses (three dots) and you should see "Save Chat"

18:18:02 From Dr. Elizabeth Allen to Everyone:

Replying to "I am not seeing a way to save the chat in this mee...":

it isn't there.

18:18:18 From Marc Poris to Everyone:

Replying to "I am not seeing a way to save the chat in this mee...":

I usually see the three dots in Zoom, but not for this particular meeting.

18:18:23 From Dr. Elizabeth Allen to Everyone:
Several common Zoom features don't seem to be available here.
The Rename, Save Chat and Transcript features are not here.

18:18:34 From Robert Fornango to Everyone:
Please visit our website for more information about the
Monitorship: portlandpolicemonitor.com

You can also email us any time: info@portlandpolicemonitor.com

18:18:49 From Conrad Hulen PCCEP (he,him) to Everyone:
Greetings
'Conrad'
Conrad Hulen (he/him)
PCCEP Member
Policy & Reform Subcommittee

18:24:23 From Robert Fornango to Everyone:
Replying to "Greetings 'Conrad' Conrad Hulen (he/him) PCCEP
Mem...":
Hi Conrad, I believe you should be able to change your name in
the Zoom Meeting now.

18:25:17 From Dr. Elizabeth Allen to Everyone:
Replying to "Greetings 'Conrad' Conrad Hulen (he/him) PCCEP
Mem...":
Yes, the Rename option is there now.

18:25:27 From Conrad Hulen PCCEP (he,him) to Everyone:
Replying to "Greetings 'Conrad' Conrad Hulen (he/him) PCCEP
Mem...":
Thank you

18:39:22 From Debbie Aiona (she/her) to Everyone:
Are the city's self-monitoring reports publicly available?

18:53:24 From Mark P. Smith to Everyone:
Replying to "Are the city's self-monitoring reports publicly
av...":
Hi Debbie. Thanks for that question. I respectfully refer you
directly to the Department for that question. As they are the ones who
create and maintain those self-assessment plans, I believe they are
best suited to reply to your inquiry.

18:54:54 From Rochelle Silver to Everyone:
The community has no opportunity to evaluate the self-
monitoring of the BHUAC section as the BHUAC meets in private and is
not transparent even though they have quarterly community meetings to
explain what they do in secret. Can you explain what you wrote in

your report about the BHUAC as it seems you said that PPB is running the show here, BHUAC does virtually nothing and it's all o.k.

19:11:03 From Justin C to Everyone:
Is it possible to turn on audio transcript?

19:11:33 From Justin C to Everyone:
Close captioning as an ADA request

19:12:00 From Chris Olson to Everyone:
I'd like captions too

19:12:50 From Marc Poris to Everyone:
Anyone know which 6 officer involved shootings were covered by the report?

I don't think we can tell when investigations are completed from the Officer Involved Shooting Summaries.

<https://www.portland.gov/police/open-data/ois-summaries>

19:13:12 From Justin C to Everyone:
I would like to ask a question, how does this order work?

19:13:24 From Andre Miller (Councilor Morillo Office) to Mark P. Smith (direct message):
How is the work/recommendations of the Police accountability commission and their engagement with community being implemented into this work by the monitoring team?

19:14:00 From Marc Poris to Everyone:
Replying to "Anyone know which 6 officer involved shootings wer...":
Here is my failed Public Records Request to get this info.

19:14:02 From Marc Poris to Everyone:
Replying to "Anyone know which 6 officer involved shootings wer...":
failed-prr.png

19:14:58 From Robert Fornango to Everyone:
Replying to "I would like to ask a question, how does this orde...":
If you would like to post your question in the chat, we will incorporate it into a queue of questions between those who are attending in person and those who are online.

19:16:47 From Justin C to Everyone:
Thank you for the clarification.

19:21:39 From Kala Franklin (she/her) to Everyone:

Replying to "The community has no opportunity to evaluate the s...":

I think it would be nice to have more public meeting for the BHUAC- they are very informative and provide those working within certain fields community connections.

19:22:14 From Mark P. Smith to Everyone:

My apologies to those requesting closed caption availability. I believe the function should be enabled now, though I am not certain whether the change will take effect for this already in-progress meeting (I hope so, of course). We will certainly note the request and seek to improve that functionality in future meetings.

19:24:17 From Avel Gordly to Everyone:

thank you for addressing closed caption function

19:29:14 From Robert Fornango to Everyone:

For those attending online ,please feel free to enter your questions into the chat. We will add them into the queue of questions to be answered along with those from those in the room.

19:31:55 From Conrad Hulen PCCEP (he,him) to Everyone:

It appears as though full compliance for paragraph 69 and 76 are mission critical to fulfill the letter and spirit of the agreement.

Are there any modifications for the review process for those line items for the next review cycle?

19:34:55 From Justin C to Everyone:

Independent Police Monitor Town Hall 5/28/25 – Q and A

Hello,

I gave testimony on May 02, 2024 at 01:02:00.

<https://www.youtube.com/live/KU7eIJmT9s4?si=avpdFmH9eWEk-MYw>

Many of the same principal members of this DoJ compliance team heard my testimony about coordinated misconduct by IA and PPB sworn members and members of the PPB Chief's office with serious liabilities to criminal and police accountability work. I used to work in Internal Affairs as PASS. You were informed that DC Michael Frome had an allegation of unethical conduct for misrepresenting why I had not contacted an HR rep that routinely lied to me despite being a vulnerable adult receiving Developmental Disability Services as a reason to terminate my employment after reporting this cover up. Similarly you were told about AC Chief Jeff Bell's inappropriate conflict of interest in overseeing the Detectives Division as he was informed of this misconduct and his Christian nationalist literature he gave me as a commander and the religious bias as it impac

19:35:23 From Justin C to Everyone:

religious bias as it impacted me in the workplace and when oversaw a criminal investigation into my son who also happens to have developmental disabilities and mental illness and happens to be Jewish. This religious bias has relevance to any criminal investigations he oversaw and findings he or DC Frome signed off on. This impacts what you are reporting.

Remarkably, I have received no complaint acknowledgement letters or declination letters as the DoJ agreement requires both as an employee and as a vulnerable citizen with relevant DoJ agreement disabilities when I re-reported this to many of you and PPB Commissioner Mayor Wheeler and DC Attorney Heidi Brown who is on this call. Perhaps she will explain why my Civil Rights continue to be violated by Chief Day (Informed of these allegations and many others via email in early April of 2024 which some on this call know or have seen, as was sufficient for reporting under the DoJ agreement as an appropriate complaint channel just as it was to Mayor Wheeler).

19:35:47 From Justin C to Everyone:

Yet, these people signed off on many criminal and administrative investigative findings, when the City and PPB Chief Day and three out of the other 4 Chiefs in PPB now save for AC Dobson who is attending this meeting, are also involved in a RICO level cover up of ppb misconduct that was disciplinable and the related fraud by PPB sworn management in the Chief's office currently and before, IA sworn and nonsworn investigators and PPB and sworn members being interviewed in Internal Affairs. No transparent investigation has been conducted, no letters have been sent to me as required under the DoJ, in fact there has been a concerted effort that you are aware of as monitors that has compromised all police accountability work at PPB going back to the pandemic and as I reported in November of 2022 when IA Sworn management confirmed investigations were being conducted with disciplinable offenses by IA investigators and PPB sworn members (the failure to report the other two members (IA investigator and two PPB sworn m

19:36:07 From Justin C to Everyone:

two PPB sworn members had a duty to report misconduct as I did to my Sergeant who was promoted to Lt for her cover up and mishandling and my acting Captain who was then promoted to Captain for his mishandling)).

So, my question, when the very deputy attorney is involved in this cover up, this Chief of Police is violation my civil rights now and before, denying me and all taxpayers from federal, state (PERS), and local (FPD&R older property tax funded retirement many are in, especially all Chiefs). What are you doing about the fact that these people are presenting investigations that are compromised, that are signed off by those who are participating in a RICO conspiracy?

19:36:29 From Justin C to Everyone:

Please answer why neither me or all taxpayers have not

received the very investigations we are owed under the DoJ agreement? Are you going issue appeal rights to all related IA/IPR investigation complainants? What about for those with victims or criminal indictments?

Thank you. You have known about this misconduct and the fact that I do not have any investigations or acknowledgement letters for any allegations you were informed about and that many current PPB Chiefs and police accountability staff you are presenting as legitimate in your audit. This knowledge and your inaction has led to my situation that if I call 911 as vulnerable adult with developmental disabilities and mental illness who lives in Portland, I will be denied police accountability by the same Chief Day and others involved in this cover up and fired me with knowledge of those disabilities. Please tell me again how your compliance report has any validity when both my son and I currently have our civil rights being violated?

19:36:46 From Justin C to Everyone:

Please excuse any typos this is very triggering.
Thank you

19:37:23 From Charlie Michelle-Westley to Everyone:

Common knowledge by those who are from communities that have been witnesses, targets, victims & survivors of Police targeting, over policing, & excessive/deadly force that if left unmonitored they are unable to self-monitor in a way that brings trust & transformative change because their training is wholly ineffective because it does not include long term unadrsystemic implicit/explicit racial bias which is the main cause of lack of trust & is at the foundation of excessive and deadly force without this long term ongoing healing, all these attempts to reform w/o this nothing will truly change for "more effective systems of oversight & self-correction that will identify & correct problems before they develop into patterns or practices of unconstitutional conduct & or erode community trust", this is always how it has been & continues to be, look at all the civil rights violation law suits, excessive and deadly forces, & now with militarizing the police compounding increase viol.....when will this be required?

19:39:46 From Kat M to Everyone:

I would like someone to answer Marc Poris' question

19:41:11 From Debbie Aiona (she/her) to Everyone:

You raised some concerns about PPB reviews of Officer Involved Shootings. City code requires outside expert reviews of closed cases – OIR Group has done them in recent years. From what I can tell the last shooting they analyzed occurred in 2019. Did you consider encouraging in your assessment hiring either the OIR Group or another contractor to analyze shootings that have taken place since then?

19:42:04 From Kerry Driver (she,her) PCCEP member to Everyone:

Hi – I'm a PCCEP member, Kerry. I have my hand raised. I'd like to talk about the PPB Community Engagement Plan clause of the Settlement Agreement (paragraph 142)

19:44:12 From Che Ramirez to Everyone:

Replying to "Hi – I'm a PCCEP member, Kerry. I have my hand raised...":

We will unmute you shortly to share. Thank you for your patience.

19:52:34 From Rochelle Silver to Everyone:

Does your team honestly believe members of the PPB understand the word de-escalation or do they just throw that word into their reports on use of force?

19:52:49 From Justin C to Everyone:

If my question will be ignored in chat, I would like to give verbal testimony, thank you

19:54:16 From Avel Gordly to Everyone:

please answer Debbie aiona question about oirr analyzing shootings since 2019

19:54:22 From Kat M to Everyone:

What constitutes a use of tear gas right now? https://public.tableau.com/shared/9YYG6M9FZ?:display_count=n&:origin=viz_share_link

19:54:56 From Odelia to Everyone:

At our last PCCEP meeting we discussed this and the PPB demonstrated they are not doing this.

19:55:13 From Kat M to Everyone:

Replying to "At our last PCCEP meeting we discussed this and th...":

Their dashboard though...

19:56:07 From Noel Cuthair – PCCEP Co-Chair CE Subcommittee to Everyone:

PPB doesn't have an established community engagement plan that involves PCCEP.

19:56:23 From Che Ramirez to Everyone:

A couple more in person questions and then we will continue with some more from the chat. Thank you for your patience. Trying our best to get to all the questions both virtually and in person.

19:56:32 From Odelia to Everyone:

PPB does not follow an active community engagement plan. PPB staff shared they didn't know such a plan existed.

19:56:40 From Odelia to Everyone:
This is not in compliance.

20:00:07 From Tia Palafox to Everyone:
Replying to "PPB does not follow an active community
engagement...":
Which staff?

20:00:15 From Noel Cuthair – PCCEP Co-Chair CE Subcommittee to
Everyone:
Replying to "This is not in compliance."
100% correct

20:00:26 From Avel Gordly to Everyone:
may dan Handelman's work and memory continue to be a blessing

20:03:23 From Odelia to Everyone:
Can folks from the city answer these questions please?

20:04:09 From Odelia to Everyone:
OIS = officer involved shooting

20:04:59 From Odelia to Everyone:
The city does not publicly share their self-monitoring report.
They could likely be found through public records requests

20:05:07 From Heidi Brown to Everyone:
Replying to "Can folks from the city answer these questions
ple...":
I will bring the request that the City release the self
monitoring reports to folks who can make the decision.

20:05:16 From Odelia to Everyone:
Replying to "Can folks from the city answer these questions
ple...":
Thanks!

20:07:45 From Kerry Driver (she,her) PCCEP member to Everyone:
My follow-up to my questions –is PPB's Community Engagement
Plan currently existing as the PPB Racial Equity plan? How will PPB
engage with PCCEP on the plan – Racial Equity or Community Engagement
– in the future? Thanks!

20:07:54 From Avel Gordly to Everyone:
thank you pcep volunteers

20:08:24 From Odelia to Everyone:
How can community members ask more questions if we run out of
time tonight?

20:08:26 From Justin C to Everyone:
Is there a reason I am ignored?

20:09:26 From Tia Palafox to Everyone:
Replying to "I would like someone to answer Marc Poris' question...":
I don't see his question.

20:09:30 From Justin C to Everyone:
My hand is raised, and I have entered a question in chat, and I offered to do verbal testimony. How is this accessible?

20:10:06 From Tia Palafox to Everyone:
Replying to "If my question will be ignored in chat, I would li...":
Is your hand raised? I don't see your question

20:10:27 From Noel Cuthair – PCCEP Co-Chair CE Subcommittee to Everyone:
Replying to "thank you pcep volunteers":
Thank you! For being here as a community member using your voice.

20:10:47 From Justin C to Everyone:
My question was entered at 734 and you are going with new questioners instead

20:11:07 From Debbie Aiona (she/her) to Everyone:
You raised concerns about the Employee Information System in the assessment. The first time I heard about issues related to this system and its precursor was when Eileen Luna-Firebaugh conducted an independent review of IPR in 2008. This has been is a recurring concern throughout the years. Are there any city police departments that have similar systems Portland could learn from?

20:12:45 From Charlie Michelle-Westley to Everyone:
Will you all answer the questions in this chat at least after this ends? When can we expect answers?

20:12:50 From Odelia to Everyone:
Can the monitor team please address how unanswered questions and comments can be addressed after this?

20:13:23 From Justin C to Everyone:
and why you are selectively ignoring specific members of the public?

20:14:37 From Justin C to Everyone:
There is still no close captioning as well. I am seeing a

pattern with ADA compliance and making sure disabled citizens can fully participate

20:15:17 From Dori Grabinski, PCCEP Program Manager to Everyone:

Replying to "There is still no close captioning as well. I am s...":

Hey Justin, if you click "more" in Zoom, you should see an option to turn on captions. It can be hard to find.

20:17:27 From Marc Poris to Everyone:

Just a comment for the Monitor:

As a consumer of this report, I was hoping to see an acknowledgment in either the Letter from the Monitor or the Notes about Reading this Report that there is a gap in monitoring the City's compliance with the Settlement Agreement in that 2024 Q2 is not covered.

It is important to note this in the report because PPB used more force in 2024 Q2 than in any other quarter, as shown on their use of force dashboard. There will likely be lawsuits that stem from PPB's handling of officer actions on May 2 and May 23 2024. This was also an opportunity for the City to learn how its newly re-formed riot squad interacted with community members.

I understand that the City and DOJ would have to agree to modify the Settlement Agreement in order to cover 2024 Q2 and that is unlikely to happen, so it seems that PCCEP could suggest to the City that they hire an independent organization to review PPB's 2024 Q2 actions.

20:17:36 From Odelia to Everyone:

Officers also may want to perform well when they know a monitor is watching. Not sure if this speaks to compliance.

20:17:47 From Mark P. Smith to Everyone:

Replying to "If my question will be ignored in chat, I would li...":

Hi Justin. It is challenging for us to intake all of the elements of your question here in this forum. However, we welcome you to email it to us, and we would be happy to provide a response given a little more time to pay careful attention to all elements o of the question.

info@portlandpolicemonitor.com

20:18:27 From Tia Palafox to Everyone:

Replying to "My question was entered at 734 and you are going w...":

Hopefully the monitoring team will see you, I can't see your

question, but PCCEP isn't running the town hall & I don't see your hand...

20:19:03 From Marc Poris to Everyone:

If someone who is an administrator on this call is able to save the Chat and distribute it, that would be greatly appreciated.

20:19:26 From Justin C to Everyone:

You can answer how you are aware of police misconduct by chief office members and have done nothing to ensure investigations were conducted in compliance with the DoJ agreement?

20:19:40 From Justin C to Everyone:

to make sure

20:20:30 From Dori Grabinski, PCCEP Program Manager to Everyone:

Replying to "If someone who is an administrator on this call is...":

We will elevate that to the IM team

20:21:04 From Justin C to Everyone:

My previous testimony shows

20:21:11 From Justin C to Everyone:

this

20:23:24 From Justin C to Everyone:

Unless you address this you are complicit in also violating my civil rights

20:24:49 From Justin C to Everyone:

Duly noted you would prefer to hide this with email and not in public testimony.

20:26:15 From Justin C to Everyone:

This is really sad

20:26:38 From Tia Palafox to Everyone:

Replying to "There is still no close captioning as well. I am s...":

I followed Dori's instructions by pushing More, the last button on the right and CC is working.

20:27:17 From Justin C to Everyone:

I did not attend because I did not have a support worker. To ignore me when I am virtual was intentional

20:27:30 From Justin C to Everyone:

Noted

20:29:10 From Avel Gordly to Everyone:

Replying to "There is still no close captioning as well. I am s...":
thank you

20:29:18 From Marc Poris to Everyone:

The problem with the Monitor calling balls and strikes is that it's like community members are listening to the ballgame on the radio and we can't see the pitches. The City and DOJ are both interested in getting to full compliance as fast as possible, and they might (or might not) have access to, for instance, the 25 non-deadly force cases and the 6 deadly force cases. Those of us who are policed by PPB do not have that access, so we are unable to monitor the Monitor.

But that's the game. The Settlement Agreement is between the City and DOJ.

20:29:29 From Odelia to Everyone:

"requires that PPB continue to use existing thresholds in its Employee Information System (EIS) and, specifically, that the following two thresholds remain active to trigger case management reviews: (a) any officer who has used force in 20 percent of their arrests in the past six months; and (b) any officer who has used force three times more than the average number of uses of force compared with other officers on the same shift." pg 52

"PPB reported closing 554 alerts during the Review Period. 293 (52.9%) were forwarded to the member's Responsibility Unit (RU), and 187 (33.8%) resulted in some form of action, such as a debrief or counseling session." pg 53

20:29:52 From Odelia to Everyone:

And... "The total number of alerts created might have been higher had an IT issue not prevented the addition of TI data to the EIS between October 25, 2024, and December 31, 2024. Unfortunately, the total number of missing alerts was not included in the data provided, preventing an assessment of how the number of alerts has changed over time."

20:30:04 From Odelia to Everyone:

The system was not working for 2/3 of this timeline.

20:31:02 From Odelia to Everyone:

How is a debrief or counseling session considered "adequate action taken"

20:32:04 From Justin C to Everyone:

I offered a short question, you have ignored it

20:32:23 From Justin C to Everyone:

I offered verbal testimony and you have ignored that

20:32:46 From Justin C to Everyone:

This has been intentional to ignore my testimony on the recording

20:33:28 From Mark P. Smith to Everyone:

Replying to "There is still no close captioning as well. I am s...":

Justin, I also welcome you to email us and arrange a phone conversation or other meeting. We would be more than happy to respond to your questions given the time and space to do so. I am sorry we could not get to everyone's question this evening. Thank you.

20:33:33 From Justin C to Everyone:

my original comment was 734, you have prioritized all others

20:33:45 From Charlie Michelle-Westley to Everyone:

Will be looking or answer to my question in some form or another please.

20:34:39 From Tia Palafox to Everyone:

Replying to "Will you all answer the questions in this chat at ...":

I heard the Monitoring team said to email them and they heard the request to publicize those.

20:35:28 From Justin C to Everyone:

I don't want to be handled, I want to be able to participate. You have denied me this

20:35:47 From Noel Cuthair – PCCEP Co-Chair CE Subcommittee to Everyone:

I must leave– thank you to everyone who showed up and commented. You are heard even if your question wasn't answered. I hear you.

20:37:02 From Justin C to Everyone:

This is really unacceptable

20:37:34 From Dr. Elizabeth Allen to Everyone:

Replying to "my original comment was 734, you have prioritized ...":

Justin, I have not been here every minute and I have missed@ your writing .Robeertwallen2001 @ yahoo.com